

Research and Development: The search for prices

Discussion remarks

The 25th Voorburg Group Meeting on Service Statistics

September 23, 2010

Mike Horrigan

Associate Commissioner

Office of Prices and Living Conditions

U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

Outline of Discussion

- Papers on turnover and prices – discussion points
 - ▶ Comparison of turnover and price statistics
 - ▶ Treatment in the national accounts
 - ▶ Classification issues
 - ▶ Scope of who produces R&D
 - ▶ Own/purchased, internal/external R&D
 - ▶ Turnover and R&D surveys
- Conclusions:
 - ▶ Using purchased R&D to proxy own R&D is risky
 - ▶ Other second best alternatives
- Starting from scratch – an alternative approach to measurement and data collection

Papers on turnover and prices

- Classification systems
 - ▶ NACE Rev 2 – 72
 - Norway, Netherlands, Germany, Hungary
 - ▶ NAICS 5417
 - United States

Comparison of turnover statistics

Concept	Norway	Netherlands	Germany	Hungary	US
% of total turnover	7.2 billion Euro	1.8% - 1.9%	0.4%	< 0.2%	2.8%
R&D by type					
Nat Sci/Eng (72.190)	81.1%		93%	72%	95% NAICS 54171
Social Sci (72.200)	18.7%		7%	16%	5% NAICS 54172
Biotech (72.110)	0.2%			12%	

Comparison of turnover statistics

Concept	Norway	Netherlands	Germany	Hungary	US
% of R&D by firm size					
Small	10% (0-9)			~ 20% (0-9)	
Medium	18% (10-49)				
Large	72% (50+)		67.4% (> 10 million euro)		
Ratio of own to total R&D	75%	59.4% (table 7)	80%		66%

Comparison of turnover statistics

Concept	Norway	Netherlands	Germany	Hungary	US
Source of R&D	> 10 employees				
Business	43.0%	53%	70%	48.3%	74.7%
Manuf		38.8%			
Services		12.4%			
Government				41.8%	
Academic/ Universities	21.6%	34.7%	30%		25.3%
Non-profit				0.6%	
Foreign	35.4%			9.3%	
Research Institutions		12.1%			

Public funding of R&D

Country	Concept	Statistic
Norway	Public subsidies in NACE 72 as a percent of total operational income in that sector	25%
Germany	Public Subsidies as share of total receipts (turnover + other income + subsidies) – NACE 73	14.5%

Treatment in the national accounts

- Is there an explicit goal of treating R&D as an investment expense in the national accounts?

Classification issues

- The NACE and NAICS codes are broadly similar but there are underlying differences in terms of what is viewed as being included in these industries;
 - ▶ Norway notes that NACE 72.200 includes Business and Management Consulting, which is not viewed as R&D in the U.S.
- Another problem is a lack of product line data although a product line classification system exists in NACE Rev 2 - Germany

Scope issues

- The providers of R&D, who influence market supply/demand and hence prices, are often outside the scope of traditional PPIs.
 - ▶ Purchases of R&D from foreign companies, academic centers, not-for-profit companies.
- There exists significant public subsidization of the industry, which may distort private sector pricing

Scope issues

- Turnover surveys do not capture transactions received by firms producing R&D as a secondary output, that is firms outside of NACE 2 or NAICS 5417
- Question: Do we know the size of R&D that is produced outside of NACE 72 or NAICS 5417?
- Own R&D is large relative to purchased R&D

Pricing methodology

- R&D is a unique, non-repeatable activity
 - U.S. similar to pricing challenges in accounting and financial management
 - U.S. national accounts uses margin pricing for R&D, inconsistent with the non-repeatable nature of R&D
 - Germany notes the need to conduct a pilot to see if there are homogeneous and/or repeatable R&D activities as a precursor to the development of a price index.
- Purchased R&D measurement
 - Hungary pilot / Will they find repeatable R&D? / Also, pilot may inform product lines that all papers say is missing

Conclusions: Caution

- Own R&D
 - non-marketed, no observed prices
 - Using purchased R&D as a proxy for own R&D is problematic
 - Supply/demand, labor skill, type of R&D may differ significantly between OWN and purchased R&D

- Indirect measures related to labor costs and returns to investment may be second best
 - ▶ Germany - Price indexes for labor costs and price indexes for investment in buildings and in machinery.

Starting from scratch

An Alternative approach to
developing a price index for R&D

Starting from scratch – an alternative approach to measurement and data collection

- View own/internal R&D as productivity enhancing in a multi-factor productivity approach
- Use the traditional growth accounting model in productivity to calculate rate of change of multi-factor productivity in real terms as the residual between rate of change of real output and the rate of change of factor inputs weighted by factor shares.

Starting from scratch – an alternative approach to measurement and data collection

- Adopt the multi-factor productivity approach just described at the establishment level and aggregate up to the sector level
- At each firm, recover the price index for productivity enhancing activities as an implicit price deflator.
- Isolate the portion of this implied price index attributable to R&D.

Starting from scratch – an alternative approach to measurement and data collection

- Examine the data collection requirements of this approach:
 - ▶ Need for repeat observations of prices and quantities for both output and factor input **at the establishment level.**
- Assertion: This data collection approach permits an alternative way to calculate labor and multi-factor productivity that permits use of definitions of service output in SPPIs.

Start with a simple model to fix concepts

Output equation – Cobb Douglas form:

$$Q = A K^{\alpha_1} L^{\alpha_2} M^{\alpha_3}$$

Profit maximization condition:

$$\pi = P A K^{\alpha_1} L^{\alpha_2} M^{\alpha_3} - P_K K - P_L L - P_M M$$

$$\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial L} = \alpha_2 P A K^{\alpha_1} L^{\alpha_2-1} M^{\alpha_3} - P_L = 0$$

Profit maximization condition: Similar results for K, M

$$MP_L = \alpha_2 A K^{\alpha_1} L^{\alpha_2-1} M^{\alpha_3} = P_L/P$$

$$\alpha_2 Q/L = P_L/P$$

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{(LP_L)}{PQ} = \text{Labor Share } S_L$$

Multi-factor Productivity growth accounting framework

$$Q = A K^{\alpha_1} L^{\alpha_2} M^{\alpha_3}$$

$$\ln Q = \ln A + \alpha_1 \ln K + \alpha_2 \ln L + \alpha_3 \ln M$$

$$\partial \ln Q = \partial \ln A + \alpha_1 \partial \ln K + \alpha_2 \partial \ln L + \alpha_3 \partial \ln M$$

$$\partial \ln A = \partial \ln Q - (\alpha_1 \partial \ln K + \alpha_2 \partial \ln L + \alpha_3 \partial \ln M)$$

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{(KP_K)}{PQ}$$

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{(LP_L)}{PQ}$$

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{(MP_M)}{PQ}$$

* Full Model with internal R&D and purchased business services included:

$$Q = f(K(1), L(1), M(1), BS(1), RD)$$

$$RD = g(K(2), L(2), M(2), BS(2))$$

$$Q = A(1) K(1)^{\alpha_1} L(1)^{\alpha_2} M(1)^{\alpha_3} BS(1)^{\alpha_4}$$

$$A(2) K(2)^{\alpha_5} L(2)^{\alpha_6} M(2)^{\alpha_7} BS(2)^{\alpha_8}$$

Using R&D Labor as an example, Profit Maximization requires:

$$\alpha_6 = \frac{(L(2)P_{L2})}{PQ} = \text{Labor Share } S_{L2}$$

Multi factor productivity

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \ln A(1) + \partial \ln A(2) = \partial \ln Q \\ - (\alpha_1 \partial \ln K(1) + \alpha_2 \partial \ln L(1) + \alpha_3 \partial \ln M(1) + \alpha_4 \partial \ln BS(1) \\ + \alpha_5 \partial \ln K(2) + \alpha_6 \partial \ln L(3) + \alpha_7 \ln M(2) + \alpha_8 \ln BS(2)) \end{aligned}$$

What is relationship between multi-factor productivity and calculating a price index for R&D?

- $\partial \ln A(1) + \partial \ln A(2)$ is the overall impact on real output of all productivity enhancing activities.
- What is needed is the price index associated with the term $\partial \ln A(2)$
- Method
 - ▶ Look at the data needed to calculate this term, derive an associated nominal value, and an implicit price index.
 - ▶ Use regression methods to isolate the R&D price index

Discrete approximations

$$\partial \ln Q = \frac{Q_{t+1} - Q_t}{Q_t}$$

$$\partial \ln Q = \frac{\frac{P_{t+1}Q_{t+1}}{P_{t+1}/P_t} - P_t Q_t}{P_t Q_t}$$

$$\partial \ln K(2) = \frac{K(2)_{t+1} - K(2)_t}{K(2)_t}$$

$$\partial \ln K(2) = \frac{\frac{P_{k2,t+1}K(2)_{k2,t+1}}{P_{k2,t+1}/P_{k2,t}} - P_{k2,t}K(2)_t}{P_{k2,t}K(2)_t}$$

Data collection, estimating the R&D price index and productivity

- Data collection requirements – turnover perspective: At the sector level, for each product/service line or across all products/services, collect:
 - ▶ Total revenue at two points in time
 - ▶ Price relative (price index at the two points in time)
 - ▶ For each input, total input costs and input price relatives at two points in time
 - Labor, capital, business services related and not related to R&D

Data collection, estimating the R&D price index and productivity

- Data collection requirements – Producer price perspective
 - ▶ At initiation
 - Collect revenue by product/service line and base price
 - Collect costs of the inputs used in the production of the products/lines of service
 - ▶ Visit the establishment a second time
 - Collect revenue by product/service line and reprice
 - Collect updated costs of the inputs used in production and the price relatives of the inputs

Data collection, estimating the R&D price index and productivity

- How do you estimate the price index for R&D?
 - ▶ Left hand side of the equation is the change in the real value of output resulting from R&D and other productivity enhancing activities: $\partial \ln A(1) + \partial \ln A(2)$
 - ▶ By construction the previous equations for the right hand side can be easily converted to derive the value of nominal change.
 - ▶ One can use these two values to calculate the implicit price deflator associated with the change in output resulting from all productivity enhancing activities

Data collection, estimating the R&D price index and productivity

- Can you isolate the implied price deflator for R&D activities – that is, the implicit price deflator associated with $\partial \ln A(2)$?
- Idea: Regress the implied price deflators across establishments on the values of labor, capital, materials and business services

Data collection, estimating the R&D price index and productivity

■

$$\text{Define } IPI_i = \frac{\text{Nominal } (\partial \ln A(1) + \partial \ln A(2))}{\text{Real } (\partial \ln A(1) + \partial \ln A(2))}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Regress } IPI_i = & \beta_0 + \beta_1 K_i(1) + \beta_2 L_i(1) + \beta_3 M_i(1) + \beta_4 BS_i(1) \\ & + \beta_5 K_i(2) + \beta_6 L_i(2) + \beta_7 M_i(1) + \beta_8 BS_i(2) \end{aligned}$$

Data collection, estimating the R&D price index and productivity

- Estimate the impact of a change in R&D capital, labor, materials, or purchased business services on the change in the Implicit Price deflator as the sum of:

$$\beta_5 + \beta_6 + \beta_7 + \beta_8$$

- Starting a base period value of 100, the value of the IPI for R&D in subsequent periods will be the sum of these regression parameters that are updated each period.

What are the implications for measuring productivity?

- Use the products/lines of service drawn at sample initiation of each establishment
 - ▶ That is, define service output using PPI methods
- Collect repeated observations at those establishments on revenue by product/service line and input costs
- Estimate labor and multi-factor productivity at each establishment – for example, the ratio of output to labor.

What are the implications for measuring productivity?

- Calculate sectoral productivity as the rolled up average of the productivity estimates across establishments.
- Essentially this is defining sectoral productivity as the average of weighted ratios rather than the ratio of averages as it is currently done in traditional productivity estimates.
- This allows you to use the definition of output in SPPIs to calculate productivity directly.